

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,936	08/03/2005	Robert Riener	82331	9503
23685 KRIEGSMAN	7590 07/20/2007 & KRIEGSMAN		EXAMINER	
30 TURNPIKE	E ROAD, SUITE 9		HADIZONOOZ, BANAFSHEH	
SOUTHBORD	OUGH, MA 01772		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
	:			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A - Alexan Court	10/511,936	RIENER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Banafsheh Hadizonooz	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the state of the state	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Au	ugust 2005.				
·	, _ .				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/03/2005.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I Solution of Informal Control Other:	Date			

Detailed Action

In response to the amendment filed on 08/03/2005, Claims 1-9 are pending. This office action is made **Non-Final**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitt et al (US 4,435,163) in view of Azerad et al. (US 2004/0091845).

[Claims 1, 6]: Schmitt discloses a method for learning and training dental treatment techniques, according to which forces are applied to a tooth (e.g. pressure) held in an artificial mandible (e.g. artificial jaw) by means of a tool or by hand in order to examine or treat the tooth, in which case the mandible or the tooth is coupled with a force measuring device (e.g. pressure-sensitive element)which converts the forces applied to the tooth into electric measurement signals that are fed to a data processing device(e.g. microprocessor) which comprises a data memory (e.g. to retrieve appropriate sound). Schmitt does not specifically disclose force/time courses of different treatment steps. However, Azerad discloses a multitude of reference force/time courses (e.g. MH models for each tooth) (See P.3, [0051]) of different treatment steps are stored (e.g. in handtools and teeth library) in a manner that enables them to be retrieved (See Fig.3 and P.3, [0071]), the method comprising the following steps:

10/511,936 Art Unit: 3714

- Selection of a reference force/time course appropriate to a tooth treatment to be learned or trained (e.g. selecting the tooth to be inserted in virtual jaw) (See P.3, [0070]),
- start of the simulated tooth treatment,
- measuring of the forces applied to the tooth by means of a tool or by hand by means of the force measuring device coupled with the mandible or the tooth and determination of the amount and direction thereof in the course of the time e.g. as the drilling continues deep in the tooth)(See Fig.2A and 2B), whereby this actual force/time course is simultaneously with the selected reference force/time course or values derived from it represented on an optic display unit(See Fig. 1A), and
- determination as to whether the force/time courses or values derived from them show a predetermined correlation among each other (See P.3, [0071]). Azerad further discloses a program (e.g. driver program or user interface program), which controls the data processing device in a way that allows the selected reference force/time course and the actual force/time course of the simulated tooth treatment to be presented on an optic display (See P.3, [0054]-[0055]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the force feedback system of Azerad into the system and method of Schmitt in order to design a system that provides a closes to reality models for training purposes.

10/511,936 Art Unit: 3714

[Claim 2]: Azerad further discloses a method wherein the measured force/time course is converted into a movement/time course, visualized and compared with a stored reference movement/time course (See P.2, [0047]-P.3,[0048]).

[Claims 3, 7]: Regarding claims 3 and 7, Azerad further discloses acoustic signal patterns stored in correlation with the measured force/time course are retrieved and displayed by an acoustic display unit, wherein the multitude of sound samples are stred in the data memory in which case by means of a program subject to the actual force/time course of the simulated tooth treatment a sound sample belonging to it can be displayed (See P.4, [0073]).

[Claim 4]: With respect to claim 4, Azerad further discloses that the spatial position of the force application point of the tool is determined by means of a navigation system (See P.2, [0030]).

[Claim 5]: As per claim 5, Azerad discloses at least one force sensor (See Fig.3), is arranged at the tool the measurement signal of which is fed to the measurement signal of the force measuring device at the tooth or at the mandible for the purpose of measured value correction (See P.2, [0031]-[0033] and P.3, [0057]).

[Claim 8]: Azerad further discloses at least one force measuring device that is arranged at the tool and formed to measure the force applied by the tool and further a control and correction program (e.g. LP and LU) is provided which calculates a measured value correction of the forces measured at the tooth or at the mandible(See Fig 2B).

[Claim 9]: Azerad further discloses a navigation system for determination of the position of the tool application point (See P.2, [0031]).

10/511,936 Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Banafsheh Hadizonooz whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272- 6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BH

ROBERT E-PEZZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER